

ANALYSIS OF ORIGINAL BILL

Franchise Tax Board

Author: Morrow Analyst: Norman Catelli Bill Number: SB 1295
Related Bills: See Legislative History Telephone: 845-5117 Introduced Date: February 17, 2004
Attorney: Patrick Kusiak Sponsor: _____

SUBJECT: Manufacturers' Investment Credit/8% of Amount Paid or Incurred On or After 1/1/04

SUMMARY

This bill would reinstate the previous Manufacturers' Investment Credit (MIC). This bill would also raise the credit percentage from 6% to 8%.

This analysis addresses only those provisions of the bill affecting the Franchise Tax Board (FTB).

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

According to the author's staff, the purpose of the bill is to increase the incentive for manufacturing businesses to remain or locate in California.

EFFECTIVE/OPERATIVE DATE

As a tax levy, this bill would be effective immediately upon enactment and operative for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2004.

POSITION

Pending.

ANALYSIS

FEDERAL/STATE LAW

Existing state and federal laws allow a taxpayer to deduct expenses paid or incurred in the ordinary course of a taxpayer's trade or business and allow a depreciation deduction for the obsolescence or wear and tear of property used in a trade or business or for the production of income.

Existing federal law does not have a credit comparable to the previous MIC.

Previous state law allowed qualified taxpayers a credit equal to 6% of the amount paid or incurred after January 1, 1994, and before January 1, 2004, for qualified property that was placed in service in California.

Board Position:

_____ S	_____ NA	_____ NP
_____ SA	_____ O	_____ NAR
_____ N	_____ OUA	_____ X PENDING

Department Director

Date

Gerald Goldberg

03/24/04

For purposes of the previous MIC, a qualified taxpayer was any taxpayer engaged in manufacturing activities described in specified codes listed in the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Manual, 1987 edition. Qualified property was any of the following:

1) Tangible personal property that is defined in Section 1245(a) of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC), used in a qualified SIC Code activity, and used primarily for:

- Manufacturing, processing, refining, fabricating, or recycling of property;
- Research and development;
- The maintenance, repair, measurement, or testing of otherwise qualified property; or
- Pollution control that meets or exceeds state or local standards.

2) The value of any capitalized labor costs directly allocable to the construction or modification of the property listed in #1 above or for special purpose buildings and foundations listed in #3 below.

3) Special purpose buildings and foundations that are an integral part of specified activities.

For taxpayers engaged in computer programming and computer software related activities, qualified property included computers and computer peripheral equipment used primarily for the development and manufacture of prepackaged software, and the value of any capitalized labor costs directly allocable to such property.

The MIC explicitly excluded certain types of property from the definition of qualified property, such as furniture, inventory, and equipment used in an extraction process.

The MIC statute has been repealed by its own terms and ceases to be operative as of January 1, 2004, due to a reduction in manufacturing jobs.

THIS BILL

This bill would reinstate indefinitely the previous MIC, which sunset by its own terms on January 1, 2004, for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2004, and increase the credit percentage from 6% to 8%.

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

Implementing this bill would not significantly impact the department's programs and operations.

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

AB 1998 (Dutton, 2003-2004) would reinstate the previous MIC for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2005, and extend the MIC to activities related to electric service (power generation, transmission, or distribution). AB 1998 is waiting for a hearing date in the Assembly Revenue and Taxation Committee.

AB 2070 (Houston, 2003-2004) would reinstate the previous MIC for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2005. AB 2070 is waiting for a hearing date in the Assembly Revenue and Taxation Committee.

AB 2076 (Dutton, 2003-2004) would reinstate the previous MIC only for electric service activities for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2004. AB 2076 is waiting for a hearing date in the Assembly Revenue and Taxation Committee.

SB 671 (Alquist, Ch. 881, Stats. 1993) added the MIC to the Revenue and Taxation Code.

SB 676 (Alquist, Ch. 751, Stats. 1994) made clarifying changes to the MIC, and added provisions allowing the credit for leased property. SB 676 specifically limited the MIC to the lessee.

OTHER STATES' INFORMATION

The states surveyed include *Illinois*, *Massachusetts*, *Michigan*, and *New York*. These states were selected due to their similarities to California's economy, business entity types, and tax laws. The survey was limited to income or franchise tax benefits related to manufacturing equipment.

Illinois provides a replacement tax investment credit equal to 0.5% of the basis of qualified property placed in service during the tax year (from July 1, 1984 to January 1, 2004), used by a taxpayer primarily engaged in manufacturing, retailing, coal mining, or fluorite mining.

Massachusetts provides a 3% credit based on the cost of qualified property used for manufacturing, farming, fishing, or research and development.

Michigan provides a certified, graduated investment tax credit based on adjusted gross receipts of a firm. The credit is a percentage (0.85% to 2.3%) of the net costs of qualifying tangible, depreciable assets located in Michigan.

New York provides an investment tax credit to manufacturers for certain depreciable equipment or buildings. The credit is 5% of up to \$350 million of qualified expenditures and 4% for qualified expenditures in excess of \$350 million. Certified pollution control, industrial waste treatment, and acid rain control facilities also qualify for this credit. Research and development property may qualify for an optional rate of 9%.

FISCAL IMPACT

This bill would not significantly impact the department's costs.

ECONOMIC IMPACT

Revenue Estimate

Based on the discussion below, the revenue loss from this bill is as follows:

Estimated Revenue Impact Operative for Taxable Years Beginning on or After January 1, 2004 Assumed Enactment After June 30, 2004 (In Millions)		
2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
-\$280	-\$295	-\$360

This analysis does not consider the possible changes in employment, personal income, or gross state product that could result from this proposal.

Revenue Discussion

The revenue effect of the MIC credit is generally determined by the following formula:

“Qualified costs” incurred in “qualifying activities” by a “qualified taxpayer” multiplied by the credit percentage equals the gross amount of the MIC credit earned.

The amount of MIC credit allowed to reduce tax liability is limited by the amount of alternative minimum tax, if any, for the year. Other tax rules require a carryover credit to be applied to the limitation amount until exhausted. The newly earned credit may be applied to any remaining tax liability balance. The amount of the newly earned credit actually applied to tax liability is the revenue impact. Any credit not applied to the current year tax liability is a carryover to the next year.

This bill would reinstate the MIC indefinitely and would increase the credit amount from 6% to 8% for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2004. The estimate was based on a micro simulation model of California tax returns for taxable year 2001. These numbers were grown to approximate 2002 and beyond. The above estimate represents only that portion of applied credits with respect to newly generated credits pursuant to this bill. Prior year carryover credits will continue to be applied as allowed by prior law. Therefore, any revenue loss would be as a result of newly generated credits.

The fiscal year cash flow patterns are based on the department’s analysis of how manufacturers adjusted their tax payments to reflect the reduction in liability resulting from the previous MIC.

POLICY CONCERNS

This bill does not contain a sunset date. Sunset dates generally are provided in tax incentive bills (credits, special deductions) to allow periodic review by the Legislature.

LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Norman Catelli
Franchise Tax Board
845-5117
Norm.Catelli@ftb.ca.gov

Brian Putler
Franchise Tax Board
845-6333
Brian.Putler@ftb.ca.gov